

Chm. Gionfriddo:

I have been requested by Attorney Dzialo of being in a good mood. At this time of the evening to honor his request to move to item 5.3 under old business, which is here basically because of a technical mistake that we made at the last meeting. What happened, if you remember, the Orange Condominiums had a hearing at the last meeting where the Commission voted 7 to 0 to approve them. What happened was that Commissioner Lindquist inadvertently was recorded as seconding the motion, and she was sitting as an alternate at the time, and was not actually a voting member. So we had a technical defect that needs to be corrected in order for this to be properly approved. This is not a public hearing again. It has already been held, but we really need a new motion and second to approve, so that we can properly vote on it. I know that we have received a letter from Mr. Petras and I appreciate his letter, but I indicate that he also indicates that I believe now that there is a question of a boundary dispute. But you know the Commission has always taken a position in the past that boundary disputes are civil matters between the parties and we don't ever get involved in those. So unless the Commission intends to rehear the whole issue, which I don't really think would be fair, in light of what we did last meeting. I would suggest that a motion and a second to approve. That would be proper and in order.

Comm. Passanesi:

So moved.

Chm Gionfriddo:

Passanesi moves to approval. Is there a second?

Comm. Gadowski:

I'll second it.

Chm. Gionfriddo:

Commissioner Gadowski seconds it. There is a motion and a second to approve the site plan approval for the twenty-six condominium units in the Orange area. Is there any discussion? Now all those in favor?

All Commissioners:

Aye.

Chm. Gionfriddo:

Any opposed? Motion carried.